Exhibit 1

```
1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
                     CASE NO. 18-MD-2865 (LAK)
 3
        IN RE:
 4
 5
        CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF
        THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK
 6
        (SKATTEFORVALTNINGEN) TAX REFUND
        SCHEME LITIGATION
 7
        This document relates to case nos.
 8
        19-cv-01783; 19-cv-01788; 19-cv-01794;
        19-cv-01798; 19-cv-01918
 9
10
11
12
                       CONFIDENTIAL
13
                  SUBJECT TO THE PROTECTIVE ORDER
14
15
          CONTINUED REMOTE VTC VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION UNDER
16
17
                         ORAL EXAMINATION OF
18
                          RICHARD MARKOWITZ
19
                              VOLUME II
20
                         DATE: April 9, 2021
21
22
23
24
25
                 REPORTED BY: MICHAEL FRIEDMAN, CCR
```

1	TRANSCRIPT of the videotaped deposition
2	of the witness, called for Oral Examination in the
3	above-captioned matter, said deposition being taken
4	by and before MICHAEL FRIEDMAN, a Notary Public and
5	Certified Court Reporter of the State of New Jersey,
6	via WEBEX, ALL PARTIES REMOTE, on April 9, 2021,
7	commencing at approximately 9:40 in the morning.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	way or the other. We relied extensively, for
2	pension plan and retirement income advice,
3	retirement account advice, on Kaye Scholer.
4	And never did they raise that issue
5	in any of the advice they gave us.
6	Q Okay. You understood that any
7	profits that Robin Jones' three pension plans
8	generated from the dividend arbitrage
9	strategy, 95 percent of it had to go to the
10	partners in the partnership agreement.
11	Right?
12	A I understand that her pension plan
13	entered into a partnership agreement and that
14	created the outcome you're talking about,
15	yes.
16	Q Okay. Is it would you agree
17	that the partnerships for which Ms. Jones'
18	pension plan was a partner owned the economic
19	interest in her pension plan's custodial
20	accounts?
21	MR. BONGIORNO: Objection.
22	A You're asking me to make a legal
23	conclusion. I can't.
24	And again, we would have relied
25	extensively on the advice of Kaye Scholer,

1	especially as it related to the partnership
2	structure and the interaction with the
3	retirement accounts.
4	Q Okay. Did the partnerships
5	themselves have any foreign custodial
6	accounts?
7	A Repeat that again. It faded out.
8	Q Sure. Did the partnerships
9	themselves have any foreign custodial
10	accounts?
11	A Yes. I'm sorry. The plans or the
12	partnerships?
13	I misheard the question.
14	Q Okay. I'll say it again.
15	Did the partnerships themselves
16	have any foreign custodial accounts?
17	A No.
18	Q Okay. Did the partnerships
19	themselves have any foreign bank accounts?
20	A No.
21	Q Did you understand as part of
22	this well, withdrawn.
23	Do you know what an "FBAR" is?
24	A Yes.
25	Q What's your understanding of an

1	partnerships have the economic interest in
2	those pension plan accounts at the foreign
3	custodian?
4	A For purposes of FBAR filings, yes.
5	Q But for other purposes, they don't
6	have the economic interest in those accounts?
7	A This entire e-mail was about FBAR
8	filings.
9	Q Okay. So, in that context, did you
10	agree with him that the partnership has the
11	economic interest in the pension plan's
12	foreign custodial account?
13	MR. BONGIORNO: Objection.
14	A We took the advice of our counsel,
15	in their conclusion, that for purposes of
16	FBAR filing, their opinion was the
17	partnerships have the economic interest in
18	the accounts. And we would follow their
19	advice.
20	Q Okay. And to the extent those
21	foreign accounts generated any profit for the
22	pension plan, 100 percent of the profits had
23	to go into the partnership pursuant to the
24	partnership agreement.
25	Correct?

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, MICHAEL FRIEDMAN, a Certified Court
3	Reporter and Notary Public, qualified in and for
4	the State of New Jersey do hereby certify that
5	prior to the commencement of the examination
6	RICHARD MARKOWITZ was duly sworn by me to testify
7	to the truth the whole truth and nothing but the
8	truth.
9	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing
10	is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony
11	as taken stenographically by and before me at the
12	time, place and on the date hereinbefore set forth.
13	I DO FURTHER certify that I am neither a
14	relative of nor employee nor attorney nor counsel
15	for any of the parties to this action, and that I
16	am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney
17	or counsel, and that I am not financially
18	interested in the action.
19	
20	
21	- VVII Million
22	MICHAEL FRIEDMAN, CCR of the
23	State of New Jersey
24	License No: 30X100228600
25	Date: April 11, 2021